1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321) United States Attorney EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 0722) Chief, Criminal Division MARK L. KROTOSKI (CSBN 138549) Assistant United States Attorney 150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-5035 Facsmile: (408) 535-5066 Attorneys for Plaintiff
9	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION
13	*E-FILED - 2/8/06*
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 05-00734-RMW
15	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION REGARDING
16	v.) EXCLUDABLE TIME AND ORDER
17	OSCAR MARTINEZ,) aka janeiro, aka xraided, aka sailorboy,)
18	Defendant.
19	
20	It is hereby stipulated and agreed between defendant Oscar Martinez, and his counsel Mark
21	Eibert, and the United States as follows:
22	This matter was set for a status conference on February 13, 2006 at 9:00 a.m. In this
23	copyright infringement case, the defense needs more time to prepare, review discovery
24	previously provided, including a substantial amount of digital evidence, and research legal and
25	sentencing issues. The parties have been discussing plea and sentencing issues. In particular, the
26	defense requests more time to assess valuation issues in this case and to review forfeiture issues
27	before arriving at a final plea decision.
28	The parties stipulate and move the Court to exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act from the
	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME AND ORDER CR 05-00734-RMW

Case 5:05-cr-00734-EXE Document 42 Filed 02/08/06 Page 2 of 3

L	February 13, 2006, until February 27, 2006, because the parties believe that the ends of justice
2	served by the granting of such a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the
3	defendant in a speedy trial, particularly since reasonable time is needed for the defense to prepar
1	for pretrial and trial matters, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A), 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii). The
5	parties further stipulate that time may be excluded for reasonable time for defense
6	preparation, since the failure to exclude time would deny counsel for the defendant reasonable
7	time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence,
3	pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A), 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). Complicating a review of the
9	discovery and issues in this case is the fact that the defendant resides in Southern California.
LO	Further time will allow for necessary discussions on the issues in this case.
L1	So stipulated.
L2	Dated: February, 2006 KEVIN V. RYAN United States Attorney
L3	Office States Attorney
L4	MARK L. KROTOSKI
L5	Assistant United States Attorney
L6	So stipulated.
L7	Dated: February, 2006
L8	MARK EIBERT
L9	Attorney for Defendant Martinez
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	d .

STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME AND ORDER CR 05-00734-RMW Page 2 of 3 $\,$

ORDER 1 2 Based upon the foregoing Stipulation and good cause appearing therefor, 3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the status conference set for February 13, 2006 at 9:00 a.m. for defendant Martinez shall be continued to February 27, 2005 at 9:00 a.m. 4 5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the time between February 13, 2006, until February 27, 6 2006 shall be excluded from the computation period within which the trial must commence, for 7 the reasons and based upon the statutory provisions set forth by the parties in this Stipulation, including that time is needed for effective defense preparation.. The Court finds that the ends of 8 justice outweigh the interests of the public and the parties in a speedier trial under 18 U.S.C. §§ 9 10 3161(h)(8)(A), 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii) (complexity), 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv) (reasonable time necessary for 11 effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due diligence). 12 DATED: February 8, 2006 /s/ Ronald M. Whyte 13 RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28